Blm helicase facilitates rapid replication of repetitive DNA sequences in early Drosophila development
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Abstract

The absence of functional BLM DNA helicase, a member of the RecQ family of helicases, is responsible for the rare human disorder Bloom Syndrome, which results in developmental abnormalities, DNA repair defects, genomic instability, and a predisposition to cancer. In Drosophila melanogaster, the orthologous Blm protein is essential during early development when the embryo is under the control of maternal gene products. We show that lack of functional maternal Blm during the syncytial cell cycles of Drosophila embryonic development results in severe nuclear defects and lethality. Amongst the small fraction of embryos from Blm mutant mothers that survive to adulthood, a prominent sex-bias favors the class that inherits less repetitive DNA content, which serves as an endogenous source of replication stress. This selection against repetitive DNA content reflects a role for Blm in facilitating replication through repetitive sequences during the rapid S-phases of syncytial cell cycles. During these syncytial cycles, Blm is not required for complex DNA double-strand break repair; however, the progeny sex-bias resulting from the absence of maternal Blm is exacerbated by repetitive DNA sequences and by the slowing of replication fork progression, suggesting that the essential role for Blm during this stage is to manage replication fork stress brought about by impediments to fork progression. Additionally, our data suggest that Blm is only required to manage this replication stress during embryonic development, and likely only during the early, rapid syncytial cell cycles, and not at later developmental stages. These results provide novel insights into Blm function throughout development.
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Introduction

BLM DNA helicase, a member of the RecQ family of ATP-dependent helicases (Ellis et al. 1995), gives rise to Bloom Syndrome when absent in humans. Bloom Syndrome is characterized primarily by an increased risk of developing a broad range of cancers, but low birth weight, proportional dwarfism, photosensitive skin lesions, and premature aging are also commonly observed (German 1993; Chu and Hickson 2009; Kamenisch and Berneburg 2009). BLM plays multiple roles in the repair of DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) in both mitotic and meiotic cells (Adams et al. 2003; Bernstein et al. 2010; Croteau et al. 2014). Additionally, BLM is involved in the response to replication stress, defined here as a slowing or stalling of replication fork progression (Zeman and Cinpichr 2014), which is caused by blocked, stalled, or collapsed replication forks (Davalos et al. 2004; Wu 2007). Drosophila melanogaster makes a compelling model for the study of BLM function due to the well-documented functional conservation between human BLM and D. melanogaster BLM proteins with respect to their roles in DNA DSB repair and replication fork management (Karow et al. 2000; van Brabant et al. 2000; Machwe et al. 2005; Bachrati et al. 2006; McVey et al. 2007).

When initially identified in Drosophila as mus309, a mutagen-sensitive mutant allele on the third chromosome, Blm mutations were found to cause almost complete sterility in females (Boyd et al. 1981). Later analysis of this sterility defect determined the cause to be a maternal-effect embryonic lethality; the vast majority of embryos from Blm mothers do not hatch into larvae (McVey et al. 2007). Early embryonic development in Drosophila occurs in a syncytium; the syncytial embryonic cycles are the first 13 cell cycles occurring postfertilization. The nuclei in the syncytium undergo rapid, mostly synchronous rounds of DNA synthesis (S) and mitosis (M) with no intervening gap phases (Foe and Alberts 1983). These syncytial cycles are under maternal control, with maternally deposited gene products (mRNA transcripts and protein) driving cellular processes until zygotic transcripts are produced in sufficient quantities. This transition between maternal and zygotic control occurs gradually from the late syncytial cycles until completion by the midblastula stage during...
cycle 14 (reviewed in Tadros and Lipshitz 2009; Kotadia et al. 2010; Laver et al. 2015). Blm mothers, therefore, fail to provision their eggs with maternal Blm products, resulting in embryos that lack functional Blm helicase. These embryos display an elevated incidence of anaphase bridge formation and other markers of DNA damage during syncytial cycles, as well as a subsequent low embryo hatch rate (McVey et al. 2007). These data led to the hypothesis that Blm is essential during the syncytial embryonic cell cycles.

Observations have been made of a sex-bias toward female progeny amongst the small percentage of total progeny from Blm mothers that are able to survive to adulthood (J. Sekelsky and K. P. Kohl, unpublished observations). One characteristic that differentiates early male and female embryos is the amount of repetitive DNA content in their respective genomes, with female genomes containing ~20 Mb less repetitive content than male genomes (Hoskins et al. 2002; Celniker and Rubin 2003; Brown et al. 2020). One possible explanation for the underrepresentation of male progeny from Blm mothers is that the primary role for Blm protein during early syncytial cell cycles is to manage replication challenges, such as those posed by replication fork stalling through repetitive DNA sequences. In this case, the extra repetitive sequence content in the male genome puts those embryos at a survival disadvantage.

Repetitive DNA sequences have been shown to cause replication fork pausing both in vitro (Kang et al. 1995; Gacy et al. 1998) and in vivo (Samadashwily et al. 1997; Ohshima et al. 1998). Replication sequences can form several types of secondary structure that impede the replicative helicase (reviewed in Mirkin 2007), and members of the RecQ helicase family can unwind many of these types of secondary structure (reviewed in Sharma 2011). Replication fork pausing may be especially problematic in the extremely rapid syncytial S-phases. During the early syncytial cycles, the embryo replicates the entire genome in an estimated 3–4 min (Blumenthal et al. 1974), which lengthens in the late syncytial cycles (9–13) to between 5 and 14 min before lengthening considerably to 50 min at cycle 14 (Shermoen et al. 2010).

Taken together, these data suggest that Blm DNA helicase plays an essential role during syncytial embryonic development in Drosophila. More specifically, it suggests that the precise function of Blm may be in responding to replication stress during the rapid syncytial cell cycles. However, this proposed function of Blm has not been directly tested.

To begin our investigation, we collected examples of the severity of DNA damage that arises in embryos from Blm mothers. We then tested the hypothesis that Blm prevents such DNA damage during early embryonic development by responding to endogenous sources of replication stress, such as those posed by repetitive DNA sequences, by altering the amount of repetitive DNA content that was inherited by either male or female progeny from various crosses. This allowed us to determine whether the relative amount of repetitive DNA content in embryos that lack maternal Blm (those from Blm mothers) was correlated with survival to adulthood. If so, it would manifest as a sex-bias in the progeny favoring the class of flies that inherits less repetitive sequence content. We then tested whether a resulting progeny sex-bias is due to defects in the ability of Blm to repair DNA DSBs or whether the phenotype is correlated with a further slowing of replication fork progression. Lastly, we tested whether this essential role of Blm is restricted to syncytial cell cycles or whether this function(s) of Blm is also required in later developmental stages.

### Materials and methods

#### Fly stocks

For our Blm
\(^{+}\) control stock, we used w
\(^{118}\). For our Blm mutant flies, we used compound heterozygotes (Blm
\(^{N1}/\)Blm
\(^{D2}\)) to minimize the risk of second-site mutations that might confound our results. Additionally, in order to improve our capacity to collect an adequate number of progeny from Blm mothers, where 90–95% of embryos die, we utilized a P[UAS]/P[Gal4] system that selects for Blm
\(^{N1}/\)Blm
\(^{D2}\) female flies while killing all other classes of progeny (Supplementary Figure S1; McMahan et al. 2013). The stocks used in this system were: w; P(w
\(^{+}\) GauB (Gal4))\(^{D83}\) Blm
\(^{N1}/\)TM3, Sb Ser P(GAL4-twist: GFP) and w/Y P(u\(^{+}\) UAS: rpr); Blm
\(^{D2}\) Sb e/TM6B P(u\(^{+}\) UAS: rpr). For determination of the progeny sex-bias in embryos, we used the same Reaper system described above for Blm
\(^{N1}/\)Blm
\(^{D2}\) mothers, but both the Blm
\(^{N1}\) and Blm
\(^{D2}\) stocks also contain egFP-tagged Sxl-containing P-element insertions on their X chromosomes (P(Sxl-P-eGFP.G)), resulting in Blm
\(^{N1}/\)Blm
\(^{D2}\) mothers whose embryos will express egFP when Sxl transcription is activated (Thompson et al. 2004). For determining the progeny sex-bias at other stages of development, we used the same Reaper system for isolating Blm
\(^{N1}/\)Blm
\(^{D2}\) mothers, but both the Blm
\(^{N1}\) and Blm
\(^{D2}\) stocks also contain the y\(^{1}\) allele of the yellow gene on their X chromosome. As a result, the Blm
\(^{N1}/\)Blm
\(^{D2}\) mothers used are homozygous for y\(^{1}\) and their progeny will be heterozygous (female) or hemizygous (male) for the y\(^{1}\) allele. We also used the Blm
\(^{D2}\) mutant allele to test the effects of a mutation that knocks out DBS repair while retaining the helicase domain and the rest of the C-terminus. We utilized a null DNApol-x180
\(^{E}\) Smad304 allele (Lafave et al. 2014), either in w
\(^{118}\) or Blm
\(^{N1}\) background, to generate our w
\(^{118}\) Poxa/+ or Blm
\(^{N1}\) Poxa/Blm
\(^{D2}\) stocks. The stocks with altered sex chromosome repetitive DNA content used in these experiments were the following stocks, obtained from the Bloomington Stock Center and then isogenized for their X and Y chromosomes: w
\(^{118}\), C(1;Y)3/O, C(1;Y)6/Y, In(I)sc4/a3R, Dp(1;Y)B5 and Dp(1,Y)y\(^{+}\). For the experiments used for Figure 4, we used a stock that was Blm
\(^{N1}/\)TM6B, Tb Hu e as our heterozygous Blm male, which allowed us to classify progeny as heterozygous or homozygous mutant for Blm. Fly stocks were maintained at 25°C on standard medium.

#### Immunofluorescence

To determine nuclear fallout, embryos from w
\(^{118}\) or Blm
\(^{N1}/\)Blm
\(^{D2}\) females crossed to w
\(^{118}\) males were collected on grape-agar plates for 1 h and then aged for two additional hours. This timing allowed us to visualize embryos that were in various stages of developmental before selecting example embryos in cell cycles 10–13 so that the nuclei reached the cortex but had not undergone cellularization. Following collection, embryos were dechorionated with 50% bleach, devitellinization with heptane, and fixation with 7% formaldehyde. Fixed embryos were incubated in 0.3% PBS-Trition® X-100 (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) for 30 min and blocked with 5% NGS (Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 h. Primary staining was done with α-phosphohistone3 (rabbit, Millipore) at 1:2000 dilution and α-phosphotyrosine (mouse, Millipore) at 1:1000 following secondary staining with Alexa Fluor® 488 and 568 (ThermoFisher Scientific) at a 1:500 dilution. Following antibody staining, embryos were stained with 1 μg/ml DAPI and mounted with Fluoromount-G (ThermoFisher Scientific). Images were taken with ZEISS ZEN Software on a Zeiss LSM710 confocal laser scanning microscope (Carl Zeiss, Inc.). For the temporal H3K9me2 localization, the same procedures were followed as above, except embryos were collected for...
4 h. H3K9me2 primary staining utilized α-H3K9me2 (ab1220) (Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) at a 1:400 concentration, followed by secondary staining with Alexa Fluor® 555 (ThermoFisher Scientific) at a 1:500 concentration.

**Progeny sex-bias experiments**

For crosses involving virgin females with normal fertility (w¹¹¹B or w¹¹¹B, Pola⁻/⁻), crosses were set up in vials containing three wild-type females and two males from the line to be tested. For crosses involving Blm virgin females (who have extremely low fertility), 25 females were crossed to 10 males from the line to be tested in each vial. Multiple vials were set up in each trial, and multiple trials (three or more) were set up for each cross. The total number of vials for each experiment and the total number of flies scored for each cross are included in the figures. Progeny were sorted by sex and scored.

**Developmental staging of progeny sex-bias origin**

To determine early embryonic sex-bias, virgin Sxl::eGFP or Blm¹⁰¹/BlmD² females were mated to w¹¹¹B males. Embryos were collected on grape agar plates for 2 h and aged 6 h. Embryos were then fixed using the protocol described above under immunofluorescence. To increase eGFP signal in the embryo, primary staining utilized α-GFP (ab290) (Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) at a 1:200 concentration, followed by secondary staining with Alexa Fluor® 594 (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) at a 1:500 concentration. Following antibody staining, embryos were stained with 1 μg/ml DAPI and mounted with Fluoromount-G (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Images were taken as described above under immunofluorescence.

For the other developmental stages, yw; Blm¹⁰¹/BlmD² female flies were crossed to w¹¹¹B males in small embryo collection cages (Genesee Scientific, San Diego, CA, USA), and embryos were collected onto grape-agar plates. Embryos were collected for 4 h and washed in 1× PBS. One portion of the embryos was placed back onto grape-agar plates, and two portions were placed into vials containing standard medium. One day later, hatched larvae were picked off the grape-agar plates and scored as having black (wild-type) or Stubble (Blm requirement) phenotype (P[w¹¹¹B, Pola⁻/⁻] × yw, Pola⁻/⁻). One day later, hatched larvae were picked off the grape-agar plates and scored as having black (wild-type) or Stubble (Blm requirement) phenotype (P[w¹¹¹B, Pola⁻/⁻] × yw, Pola⁻/⁻). One day later, hatched larvae were picked off the grape-agar plates and scored as having black (wild-type) or Stubble (Blm requirement) phenotype (P[w¹¹¹B, Pola⁻/⁻] × yw, Pola⁻/⁻). One day later, hatched larvae were picked off the grape-agar plates and scored as having black (wild-type) or Stubble (Blm requirement) phenotype (P[w¹¹¹B, Pola⁻/⁻] × yw, Pola⁻/⁻).

**Experiment to determine the temporal pattern of Blm requirement**

For this experiment, we crossed Blm females with the genotype, w f[w¹¹¹B, Pola⁻/⁻] GauB (GAL4)¹⁰³ Blm¹⁰¹/BlmD² Sb e, to heterozygous Blm males with the genotype, Blm¹⁰¹/TM6B, Tb Hu e. Progeny were then sorted and scored by phenotype. Flies that were heterozygous for Blm had the TM6B, Tb Hu e chromosome and thus had either the ebony phenotype (Blm¹⁰¹ Sb e/TM6B, Tb Hu e) and/or the Humoral phenotype (P[w¹¹¹B, Pola⁻/⁻] GauB (GAL4)¹⁰³ Blm¹⁰¹/BlmD², Tb Hu e). Homozygous Blm progeny were neither ebony nor Humoral, but were instead Stubble (BlmD² Sb e/Blm¹⁰¹) or Stubble⁺ (Blm¹⁰¹/Blm¹⁰¹).

**Statistical analyses**

All analyses, unless otherwise stated, were done using Program R (R Core Team 2020). For the adult progeny sex-bias data shown in Figure 2, the proportion of female progeny for each cross was tested for normality using a Shapiro Wilk test. When the data were normally distributed, or when the data were not normally distributed but sample size was sufficiently large (n > 30), a one-sample t-test was used to evaluate if the proportion of female progeny significantly differed from expected 0.5 proportion. For each of the crosses in Figure 2, the data were normally distributed and/or sample sizes were sufficient to allow the use of t-tests for comparisons. The complete statistical results for Figure 2 are displayed in Supplementary Table S1.

For comparison of the sex-bias in embryos, larvae, and adults (Figure 3), two-tailed z-tests were performed, using an online calculator (https://www.socscistatistics.com/tests/ztest/default2.aspx; last accessed October 10, 2021) in a pairwise manner to compare the proportions of female progeny observed at each stage. The complete statistical results for Figure 3 are displayed in Supplementary Table S2.

For the comparisons of the proportion of female progeny in Figures 6 and 7, Shapiro–Wilk tests were performed to test the data for normality. When normally distributed, one-way ANOVA was used to determine if the genetic background of the mother resulted in any significant differences within the specified cross (same father), followed by post hoc comparisons to determine which of the maternal backgrounds significantly differed from one another. When the data were not normally distributed, or sample sizes were small (n < 30), a Kruskal–Wallis test followed by pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum tests were used to verify the parametric analyses. In each case, the results from the parametric and nonparametric analyses were consistent. The complete statistical results for Figures 6 and 7 are displayed in Supplementary Tables S3 and S4, respectively.

For comparison of the observed vs expected progeny from crosses of Blm females to heterozygous Blm males (Figure 4), we performed chi-square tests on the observed results vs the expected Mendelian ratios (1/2 Blm, 1/4 homozygous for Blm¹⁰¹, and 1/4 compound heterozygous for Blm¹⁰¹/BlmD²) using an online calculator (https://www.socscistatistics.com/tests/chisquare2/default2.aspx; last accessed October 10, 2021).

**Results**

**Embryos without maternal Blm exhibit significant DNA damage**

Embryos that lack maternal Blm frequently form anaphase bridges during syncytial cycles (McVey et al. 2007). To further characterize the DNA damage phenotype of embryos from Blm mothers, we stained and fixed embryos with the DNA dye DAPI, an antibody to phosphorylated serine 10 of histone H3 (H3S10P, a mark of mitosis), and a phosphotyrosine (pY) antibody, which marks actin-rich cages surrounding syncytial nuclei. In embryos from wild-type mothers (Blm⁺), nuclei go through mitosis synchronously, and the actin-rich cages form a regular hexameric array (Figure 1A). In an embryo in which most nuclei are in mitosis, there are sometimes examples of nuclei that have not entered mitosis (Figure 1A, arrows) or of cages that appear to lack a nucleus (Figure 1A, dotted outline). This latter phenotype stems from nuclear damage during the rapid S and M cycles. At the syncytial blastoderm stage, nuclei that have sustained sufficient damage exit the cell cycle and drop out of the cortex into the interior of the embryo, where they will not contribute to the embryo proper (Sullivan et al. 1993; O’Dor et al. 2006). This process, termed nuclear fallout, sometimes involves several adjacent nuclei, likely the descendants of a single nucleus that suffered damage in an earlier cell cycle.

Severe defects are observed amongst embryos that develop without maternal Blm helicase (embryos from Blm mothers; Blm⁻) by cycle 14; these defective embryos exhibit large patches...
of asynchrony, nuclear fallout, and large swaths of collapsed actin cages (Figure 1B). This extreme level of nuclear damage likely accounts for the nonviability of most embryos from Blm mothers, suggesting that maternally deposited Blm has one or more essential functions during the rapid syncytial cell cycles that prevent DNA damage-induced nuclear fallout and the subsequent failure-to-hatch of Blm-null embryos.

**Survival of progeny from Blm mothers is inversely correlated with repetitive DNA sequence load**

Although most embryos that lack maternal Blm die prior to the larval stages of development (McVey et al. 2007), a small percentage survive to adulthood. Among the adult survivors, there is an observed bias toward female progeny compared with male progeny that we set out to quantify. First, we tested a condition in which both female and male flies had normal sex chromosomes (XX for mothers and XY for fathers) and progeny inherited normal sex chromosomes (XX for female progeny and XY for male progeny) (Figure 2A). Under these conditions, when females lacking functional Blm (Blm females) were crossed to males with wild-type Blm (we used w1118 as our Blm+ females and for our males with normal sex chromosomes), more than 70% of the adult progeny were female, which is significantly different from the expected 50% female ratio (Figure 2A, t = 13.041, df = 50, P-value < 0.0001) and significantly different than what we saw when w1118 male flies were crossed to Blm+ females (50.1% female progeny; t = 11.49, df = 71.706, P < 0.0001). One possible explanation for this female sex-bias amongst the progeny from Blm mothers is that mutations accumulate in germline cells of the Blm mutant mother, resulting in nonviability of sons that receive a maternal X chromosome with one or more lethal mutations. However, since syncytial development runs almost entirely on maternal gene products with very little contribution from the zygotic genotype (reviewed in Tadros and Lipshitz 2009; Kotadia et al. 2010; Laver et al. 2015), this scenario seemed unlikely to account for early embryonic defects. Therefore, we considered the possibility that it is the presence of the Y chromosome that makes male embryos less likely to survive. We used a series of X and Y chromosomal rearrangements to test this hypothesis.

We crossed Blm females to males carrying a compound chromosome that fuses the X and Y, designated C(1;Y). We first used C(1;Y)3/0 males (“O” indicates the lack of a second sex chromosome). These males produce sperm that carry either the C(1;Y)3 chromosome or no sex chromosome; when sperm from these males fertilize a normal egg containing a single X chromosome, the result is either a C(1;Y)3/X zygote or an X/O zygote. In Drosophila, sex is determined by the ratio of X/autosome sets and not by the presence of a Y chromosome (Salz and Erickson 2010); therefore, the X/Y sex chromosome karyotype of the C(1;Y)3/X zygote will develop as female and the X/O zygote will develop as male. In this cross, more than 90% of the surviving progeny were male (X/O), which differs significantly from the results when C(1;Y)3/0 males were crossed to Blm+ females (Figure 2B, t = 18.418, df = 40.202, P < 0.0001). We did a second cross with an independently generated compound chromosome, C(1;Y)6. In this cross, fathers were C(1;Y)6/Y, and resulting progeny were either XXY females or X/Y males. Again, more than 90% of the progeny surviving to adulthood were male (this time with X/Y genotype), which was significantly different from what is seen when the C(1;Y)6/Y males are crossed to Blm+ females (Figure 2C, t = 40.441, df = 93.376, P < 0.0001). These data suggest that the sex-bias seen in the progeny from Blm mothers is not caused by problems with the maternally derived X chromosome; however, the sex-bias does correlate with the presence of a Y chromosome (although not by the male sex specifically) and/or with increased repetitive DNA content when both classes of progeny inherit a C(1;Y) chromosome (in the case of X/Y vs XXY progeny the difference is not the presence of the Y but the additional X chromosome).

The D. melanogaster X and Y chromosomes are each about 40 Mb, but the Y is largely composed of highly repetitive satellite DNA sequences, whereas less than 50% of the X is highly repetitive (Hoskins et al. 2002; Celniker and Rubin 2003; Brown et al. 2020). Thus, although X/X females and X/Y males have similar amounts of DNA per cell, X/Y individuals have far more sex chromosome satellite DNA (~60 Mb) than X/Y siblings (~40 Mb). The precise DNA content of C(1;Y)6 and C(1;Y)3 are unknown, but, in crosses involving either male, there would be a greater amount of satellite sequences in C(1;Y)6 female progeny than in X/O or X/Y male progeny. Direct comparisons between the crosses involving either C(1;Y)3 or C(1;Y)6 males are difficult to make due to the differences in both the inherited C(1;Y) chromosome in females and in the absence or presence of a Y chromosome in the male progeny. We would expect that if the inherited C(1;Y) chromosome were the same in the female progeny from both C(1;Y)3 and C(1;Y)6 males, then the male progeny sex-bias would be more pronounced in the C(1;Y)3 cross due to the increased probability of survival of the X/O males from that cross compared with the X/Y males from the cross with C(1;Y)6 males. However, the lack of a substantial difference between the male progeny sex-bias between these crosses could be due to differences in the C(1;Y) chromosomes and/or to the relative difficulty in recovering progeny from the cross with C(1;Y)3 males [which could also be caused by this particular C(1;Y) chromosome].

The results described above suggested that poor survival of embryos lacking maternal Blm helicase is related to increased dosage of one or more satellite sequences. To test this...
hypothesis, we crossed Blm females to males with either of two Y chromosome derivatives, Dp(1;Y)BS (hereafter called BSY) and Dp(1;Y)y+ (y+Y). These chromosomes each contain the normal Y chromosome DNA sequence contribution plus an additional amount of X-derived satellite sequence (Gatti and Pimpinelli 1983). In both cases, the surviving progeny were significantly biased toward females compared with the expected 50% (t = 29.49, df = 93.556, P < 0.0001 for BSY, Figure 2D; t = 21.624, df = 63.984, P < 0.0001 for y+Y, Figure 2E) and significantly more female-biased than that seen in crosses involving males with a normal Y chromosome (86.1% and 80.1% female progeny from BSY and y+Y fathers, respectively, compared with 71.2% female progeny from w1118 fathers, t = 8.0738, df = 78.557 and t = 5.1182, df = 77.964, respectively, P < 0.0001 for both comparisons). These results are consistent with the hypothesis that additional repetitive sequence content correlates with reduced embryonic survival.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parental Sex Chromosome Karyotypes</th>
<th>Progeny Sex Chromosome Karyotypes</th>
<th>Surviving Progeny Proportions vs. Blm Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Father</td>
<td>Mother</td>
<td>Male (Bim Status)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W[+m]</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bim+ (n=29 (3564)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(1;Y)3/0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bim+ (n=18 (2610)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(1;Y)6/Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bim+ (n=47 (6712)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B[+S]Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bim+ (n=27 (3702)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y+[+]</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bim+ (n=30 (2769)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In(1)sc4Lsc8R</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bim+ (n=17 (1446)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 Survival of progeny from Blm mothers is inversely correlated with repetitive sequence load. For each cross (A–F), the sex chromosome karyotypes of the father and mother, with the approximate areas of euchromatin or heterochromatin content on the X or Y chromosomes indicated by white (euchromatin), light gray (X chromosome heterochromatin), or dark gray (Y chromosome heterochromatin) are shown. For all crosses, the mothers, whether Blm (fail to provide functional Blm protein to their eggs, depicted as Blm–) or w1118 (provide functional Blm protein to their eggs, depicted as Blm+), have normal sex chromosomes (two normal X chromosomes). See text for more details on the chromosomal content for each male. Next are depictions of the sex chromosome karyotypes of the progeny from each cross (not accounting for progeny classes resulting from chromosomal nondisjunction). The progeny class from each cross that inherits more repetitive DNA sequence content is indicated by the purple-boxed chromosomes. Lastly, for each cross, the relative proportions are shown of surviving male (blue bars) and female (orange bars) flies for each cross to mothers with either functional (Bim+) or nonfunctional (Bim–) Blm packaged into the eggs. The proportion of female vs male progeny was recorded for each individual experiment (vial), and those proportions were averaged. The total number of experiments (n) as well as the total number of flies scored for each condition is shown. In all crosses, there is a progeny sex-bias favoring the progeny class that inherits less repetitive DNA content. Error bars represent 2 x SEM. ns, not significantly different (P > 0.05); *P < 0.05; **P < 0.0001. All P-values were calculated with t-tests comparing the observed proportion to an expected proportion of 0.50 or by comparing two observed proportions of female progeny to one another.
The essential role for Blm is restricted to early embryogenesis

Blm mothers display a severe maternal-effect lethality (McVey et al. 2007); however, when not exposed to DNA damage, Blm flies survive throughout the stages of development that rely on zygotic-, larval-, and adult-produced Blm protein. This led us to hypothesize that the essential role for Blm is restricted to the early syncyntial cell cycles, which are dependent upon maternally derived Blm products. To test this hypothesis, we crossed Blm females to males that were heterozygous for Blm. Half of the resulting progeny have one functional copy of Blm and can produce functional Blm protein upon activation of zygotic
transcription, while the other half never produce functional Blm protein. No difference in survival to adulthood was detected between progeny that were either homozygous or compound heterozygous for Blm mutant alleles (unable to produce functional Blm after initiation of zygotic control) vs those that were heterozygous for Blm (BlmN1/BlmD2 Sb e) (Figure 4). These data indicate that around the time zygotic transcription of Blm begins, the protein is no longer a significant determining factor in survival to adulthood.

Heterochromatin localization is not temporally altered in embryos from Blm mothers

The data quantifying the progeny sex-biases observed when males containing variable repetitive DNA sequence content on their sex chromosomes are crossed to Blm mothers (Figure 2) supports our hypothesis that Blm is necessary during syncytial cycles to respond to the challenges of replicating through repetitive DNA sequences. However, we wanted to rule out other potential causes of the embryonic DNA damage and subsequent progeny sex-bias. First, we investigated whether early changes to heterochromatin structure might play a role. Markers of heterochromatin structure, such as HP1 and corresponding repressive histone modifications, are usually not observed in wild-type embryos until cycle 14 of the syncytial embryo (Shermoen et al. 2010), which is later in development than DNA damage in embryos from Blm mutant mothers is detected (McVey et al. 2007; Figure 1). To test whether the sex-bias in progeny from Blm mothers is related to a change in the temporal pattern of heterochromatin formation,
we utilized an antibody against H3K9me2 and confirmed that embryos from both Blm and Blm+ (*u1118*) mothers lack H3K9me2 localization until after cellularization occurs at cycle 14 (Figure 5). Since embryos from Blm mothers do not have an altered temporal pattern of heterochromatin formation, it is unlikely that changes to heterochromatin structure are the cause of the nuclear defects observed.

The N-terminus of Blm, which participates in recombination and repair functions, is not essential for embryonic development

The next question we addressed was whether the nuclear damage and subsequent progeny sex-bias is related to an inability to properly repair DNA DSBs in the embryos from Blm mothers. The BlmN2 mutant allele, an N-terminal deletion of the first 566 amino acids, is a partial separation-of-function mutant allele that is deficient in repair of DSBs; the BlmN2 allele is null for synthesis-dependent strand annealing (SDSA) and shows mitotic and meiotic recombination defects, but this allele retains its helicase domain (McVey et al. 2007). BlmN2 mutants retain an ability to process stalled or blocked replication forks, as evidenced by the reduced maternal-effect lethality compared with Blm-null alleles (McVey et al. 2007) and the viability or increased survival of double mutants involving BlmN2 and structure-selective endonucleases, such as mus81; BlmN2 and Gen BlmN2 mutants compared with double mutants involving Blm-null alleles (Trowbridge et al. 2007; Andersen et al. 2011). These endonucleases can provide an alternative resolution to double-Holliday junctions that form following DNA DSBs in the absence of Blm. These data suggest that the severe early lethality of embryos from Blm mothers may not be due to defects in complex DSB repair, but rather to a failure to properly respond to replication stress. To further test this hypothesis, we utilized the BlmN2 allele to assess the progeny sex-bias correlation to variable repetitive DNA content, but under conditions where the DSB repair functions of Blm are impaired but the helicase domain remains intact.

As predicted, the progeny sex-bias is ameliorated in the surviving progeny from BlmN2 mothers, when compared with mothers with two Blm-null alleles, when crossed to *u1118*, *B*Y, or C(1;Y)6 fathers (Figure 6). In the crosses involving *u1118* fathers, the proportion of female progeny from BlmN2 mothers was slightly elevated compared with the crosses to Blm+ mothers (*t* = 2.687, *df* = 122, *P* = 0.02). In the crosses to *B*Y fathers, there is no significant difference in the proportion of female progeny from BlmN2 and Blm+ mothers (*t* = 0.302, *df* = 166, *P* = 0.95). A less severe male progeny sex-bias was detected in the crosses between BlmN2 females and C(1;Y)6 males (significantly different from crosses to Blm+ mothers (*t* = 24.778, *df* = 190, *P* < 0.0001) but also significantly different from crosses to Blm (BlmN2/, null) mothers (*t* = 36.758, *df* = 190, *P* < 0.0001). Taken together, these data support the hypothesis that the essential syncytial function of Blm is not related to complex DSB repair.

Reducing Polα dosage exacerbates defects due to the absence of Blm

Since our data support a model by which the essential role for Blm in syncytial cycles is not to repair DSBs but rather to ensure proper replication through repetitive DNA sequences by responding to the replication stress induced by slowed or stalled replication forks, we hypothesized that slowing replication fork progression would further exacerbate the consequences posed by a lack of Blm protein during syncytial cycles. To test this hypothesis, we crossed males with variable repetitive DNA content on their sex chromosomes to either BlmN2/C(1;Y)6 or Blm+ mothers that contained only one functional copy of DNA polymerase-α 180 (Polα), which codes for the catalytic subunit of the replicative polymerase. Genetically reducing Polα in this manner has been implicated in a slowing of DNA replication (LaRocque et al. 2007). This reduction of maternal Polα had no significant effect on the proportion of female progeny from Blm+ mothers (*u1118*, Polα+/−) that provide functional Blm protein to their eggs (Figure 7; *t* = 0.512, *df* = 147, *P* = 0.9561). However, in the absence of maternal Blm protein during embryogenesis, a genetic reduction of maternal Polα (eggs derived from Blm Polα+/− mothers) results in a slight, but not statistically significant, exacerbation of the severe sex-bias seen in progeny from Blm mothers; with the sex-bias favoring the class of progeny that inherits less repetitive DNA, females in this case (Figure 7; *t* = 0.979, *df* = 147, *P* = 0.302). The male progeny sex-bias seen in the cross of Blm Polα+/− mothers to C(1;Y)6 males is significantly more pronounced than that from the cross to Blm mothers (*t* = 6.193, *df* = 212, *P* < 0.0001). In total, these data support the hypothesis that Blm is necessary to respond to replication stress caused by slowing or stalled replication during syncytial cycles.

Discussion

Our data support a model by which Blm DNA helicase facilitates replication through repetitive DNA sequences during the rapid syncytial cycles of Drosophila embryonic development. This endogenous source of replication stress posed by highly repetitive DNA sequences is prevalent on the sex chromosomes in
The sex-bias amongst progeny from Blm mothers is not due to DSB repair defects. The proportion of female progeny from \(w^{1118}\) (Blm\(^{+}\)) and Blm (Blm\(^{-}\)) mothers (white and dark gray bars, respectively) is taken from Figure 2 and compared with the proportion of female progeny from Blm\(^{N2}\) mothers (light gray bars). Blm\(^{+}\) mothers carry two genetically null alleles of Blm (Blm\(^{N1}\) and Blm\(^{N2}\)). The Blm\(^{N2}\) allele, however, codes for a protein product that is deficient for DSB repair but retains the entire helicase domain. In progeny from Blm\(^{N2}\) mothers, who provide only this DSB repair-deficient protein to their embryos, the sex-bias favoring the progeny class that inherits less repetitive DNA is ameliorated. In all crosses, the proportion of female progeny from Blm\(^{+}\) mothers is not significantly different from that seen from Blm\(^{-}\) mothers (\(P < 0.0001\) for all comparisons). In the crosses involving \(B^{+}\)Y mothers, the proportion of female progeny from Blm\(^{N2}\) mothers is not significantly different from that from Blm\(^{-}\) mothers (\(P > 0.05\)). In the crosses involving \(w^{1118}\) mothers, the proportion of female progeny from Blm\(^{N2}\) mothers is only slightly different from that seen from Blm\(^{-}\) mothers (\(P < 0.05\)). In crosses involving C(1;Y)6 mothers, the proportion of female progeny from Blm\(^{11}\) mothers is still significantly different from the proportion from Blm\(^{-}\) mothers (\(P < 0.0001\)), but this bias is far less pronounced than the bias amongst the progeny from Blm\(^{-}\) mothers. The number of replicates and total number of flies counted for Blm\(^{N2}\) progeny were as follows: \(w^{1118}\) fathers (\(n = 45, 5121\)); \(B^{+}\)Y fathers (\(n = 38, 3577\)); C(1;Y)6 fathers (\(n = 39, 3315\)). ns, not significantly different (\(P > 0.05\)); \(* P < 0.05\); \(* * P < 0.0001\). All \(P\)-values were calculated with one-way ANOVA tests followed by post hoc comparisons of the observed proportions of female progeny to one another.

Drosophila and is especially abundant on the Y chromosome. Since all embryos from Blm mothers have repetitive DNA sequences, they all have a low probability of surviving past the embryonic stage; however, the presence of additional repetitive DNA content, often via a Y chromosome, further reduces the chances of survival.
Blm is essential during syncytial cycles

Previous studies have established that Blm plays an essential role during early development in Drosophila (McVey et al. 2007; Bolterstein et al. 2014). Prior to the onset of zygotic transcription, the developing syncytium relies on maternal products packaged into the egg to manage the rapid early cell cycles (reviewed in Tadros and Lipshitz 2009, Kotadia et al. 2010, Laver et al. 2015). The inability of Blm mothers to package functional Blm products into their eggs causes a severe maternal-effect embryonic lethality, characterized by significant embryonic nuclear defects and subsequent low embryo hatch rates (McVey et al. 2007). In this report, we further characterize these nuclear defects by highlighting the severe nuclear fallout caused by the DNA damage that occurs during syncytial cell cycles in embryos from Blm mothers (Figure 1). Differences in hatch rates between embryos from Blm mothers vs those from wild-type mothers (McVey et al. 2007) suggest a correlation between the severe nuclear damage, which gives rise to nuclear fallout, and a subsequent failure to survive to the larval stage of development.

Evidence of nuclear fallout is easily observable prior to embryonic cycle 14, making it clear that maternal Blm, which is the only source of Blm available during the earlier syncytial cycles, is necessary for preventing an often-fatal level of DNA damage. For those embryos that do survive the syncytial cell cycles, a progeny sex-bias is already established prior to the first instar larval stage of development, where it persists, unchanged, throughout the rest of development (Figure 3). This supports our hypothesis that the role for Blm in preventing this sex-bias phenotype occurs during embryonic development.

Blm and repetitive DNA sequences in syncytial cycles

We sought to determine whether a potential source of the significant nuclear fallout in embryos lacking maternal Blm is replication stress, such as that caused by stalled replication forks arising in areas of highly repetitive DNA sequences. Repetitive DNA serves as a source of endogenous replication stress due to the potential impediments to replication through these sequences, which may be particularly problematic during the extremely limited time allowed for S-phases during syncytial development (Blumenthal et al. 1974; Shermoen et al. 2010). Previous reports that postulated that Blm may play a role in resolving replication stress during these early developmental periods (McVey et al. 2007; Bolterstein et al. 2014) led us to test the hypothesis that using variable repetitive DNA sequence content as a source of replication stress in the embryos from Blm mothers could implicate Blm in facilitating replication through these sequences during syncytial cell cycles. In fact, we did find that when embryos are deficient in maternal Blm during syncytial development, the progeny that manage to survive exhibit a bias that favors the class of progeny with less repetitive DNA sequence content (Figure 2). In other words, in the absence of Blm protein during syncytial development, increased repetitive DNA content is inversely correlated with survival to adulthood.

There are several possible mechanistic explanations for this correlation. One explanation is that repetitive DNA sequences increase the incidence of stalled replication forks. Human BLM is capable of regressing replication forks, which would allow for subsequent fork restart (Ralf et al. 2006). In the absence of maternal Drosophila Blm, failure to regress and restart stalled replication forks could result in DNA breaks or in incomplete DNA replication that leads to chromosomal breaks in subsequent mitoses (Ralf et al. 2006; Wu 2007; Mankouri et al. 2013). These sources of DNA damage could be responsible for the nuclear fallout and subsequent failure to hatch observed in embryos from Blm mothers.

This is similar to the mechanism proposed for WRNexo function in Drosophila (Bolterstein et al. 2014). The WRNexo gene, which shares significant homology with the exonuclease domain of the RecQ helicase WRN in humans but lacks the helicase domain, was shown to respond to replication stress during early development in Drosophila. Its hypothesized role may involve the recruitment of Blm to stalled or blocked replication forks where its helicase activity is necessary for the recovery of fork progression. WRNexo mutants have a similar, but less severe, maternal-effect lethality to Blm mutants, and the progeny of WRNexo mothers do not exhibit the same progeny sex-bias seen from Blm mothers (E. Bolterstein, personal communication), suggesting that, while the two proteins may have an overlapping role in response to replication stress during syncytial cycles, there are distinct differences in their specific functions.

The processing of stalled replication forks is also one proposed mechanism to explain the maternal-effect lethality seen in Drosophila pif1 mutants (Kocak et al. 2019). Mothers deficient for the 5’ to 3’ DNA helicase PIF1, display both a severe maternal-effect lethality and nuclear defects in the syncytial embryos from these mothers, similar to what is seen from Blm mutant mothers. These data suggest that an important embryonic function of PIF1 in Drosophila is in handling replication stress during the rapid syncytial cycles. It is unknown whether the progeny from pif1 mutants also display a progeny sex-bias (Kocak et al. 2019 and personal communication with M. McVey), but these data support a model that specific DNA helicases have essential functions in responding to replication stress during syncytial cell cycles, possibly in responding to stalled replication forks. The severe lethality seen in embryos lacking maternal products of either Blm or PIF1 argues that they are unable to compensate for the loss of one of the other helicases, either due to nonoverlapping functions or to a dosage issue, with embryos needing the maternal products of each one in order to process enough stalled forks during those rapid replication cycles.

Alternatively, but not mutually exclusive to restarting stalled forks, Blm may be required to resolve secondary structures formed by repetitive DNA sequences before replication through the region can be completed (thus preventing the stalling of forks rather than restarting them after they are stalled). RecQ-like helicases have been shown to resolve secondary structures formed by repetitive DNA sequences (Sharma 2011). Similarly to the role Blm plays in resolving structures such as G-quadruplex (G4) DNA in telomeres and other GT rich sequences (Chatterjee et al. 2014; Drosopoulos et al. 2015), perhaps Blm can also resolve secondary structures that form in specific types of repetitive elements, such as the many AT-rich simple tandem repeats found on the Y chromosome (Chang and Larracuente 2019) and in other areas of highly repetitive DNA. Although the Y chromosome is particularly enriched in simple repeats compared with the X chromosome, it also contains more LINE and LTR retrotransposons (Chang and Larracuente 2019) before they result in stalled replication forks. Although it does not vary as much in quantity between the X and Y chromosome as other types of repeats do, the rDNA arrays found on those chromosomes offers another possibility for the formation of secondary structure and stalled replication forks. It may not, however, be any specific type of repetitive DNA-induced secondary structure that requires Blm, but may instead be a requirement for Blm at stalled replication
forks caused by many or all types of secondary structure formed by repetitive DNA sequences. In this case, since the Drosophila Y chromosome contains more total repetitive DNA sequences than the X chromosome, it has a greater probability of requiring Blm intervention.

Our data suggest that if there are specific type(s) of repetitive DNA sequences that rely on the presence of Blm during syncytial cell cycles, they are found on additional loci besides the Y chromosome (since most progeny that lack a Y chromosome also fail to survive). However, since the Y chromosome is both particularly sensitive to the absence of Blm and nonessential to survival, it may provide an opportunity for investigating whether specific sequence features of the Y chromosome are responsible for the dependency on Blm during rapid replication cycles or whether multiple types of sequences pose problems in the absence of Blm, indicating that this Blm-dependent phenotype is a feature of total repetitive sequence content rather than specific types of repeats. This is an area of ongoing investigation in our laboratory.

Heterochromatic regions, as defined by structural differences and late replication, are not the likely impediment to replication that explains our sex-bias phenotype, as these markers of heterochromatin are not yet established by the time at which we begin to see nuclear fallout in embryos from Blm mothers. Shermoen et al. (2010) determined the timing of acquisition of several heterochromatic traits during early Drosophila development. Late replication of heterochromatic sequences relative to bulk chromatin did not begin until S-phases 11–13 and was not clearly delineated until S-phase 14. Heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1), a major mark of heterochromatin structure, was detectable in foci lined up until S-phase 14. Heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1), a major mark of heterochromatin structure, was detectable in foci as early as cycle 11 but was not abundant until after S-phase 14. The pervasiveness of the defects we see in cycle 12 embryos, including large regions of nuclear fallout, indicate that defects caused by a lack of maternal Blm most likely begin at least several cycles earlier, so it is unlikely that late replication or the chromatin state (at least as defined by the presence of HP1) is responsible for the defects we see in embryos that develop without Blm. The only characteristic of heterochromatin detected by Shermoen et al. before cycle 12 was compactness. This may represent some undetected property of heterochromatin, such as a specific chromatin mark, or a property of the DNA sequence itself. To confirm that embryos from Blm mothers do not exhibit a difference in the temporal establishment of heterochromatin, we showed that H3K9me2 localization in embryos from both Blm and wild-type mothers does not appear until after cycle 14 (Figure 5).

This supports the hypothesis that the repetitive DNA sequences that will later exhibit features of heterochromatin pose replication challenges in the absence of Blm prior to the onset of those features.

Canonical DSB repair is not essential in syncytial cycles
Blm does not appear to be necessary for complex DNA repair processes during syncytial cell cycles. First, many of the cell cycle checkpoints involved in these processes are not functional during this developmental stage, leaving no time to repair damage (Sibon et al. 1997; Song 2005). During syncytial cycles, Drosophila appear to activate only a transient mitotic delay as part of an S-phase DNA damage checkpoint; incompletely replicated DNA results in mitotic failure followed by nuclear fallout (Song 2005). Second, the data from crosses involving mothers with two copies of the BlmN2 allele show that an inability to repair DSBs induced by ionizing radiation (IR), promote SDSA, or prevent mitotic recombination during syncytial cycles (McVey et al. 2007) does not significantly impact the progeny sex-bias of surviving progeny, when compared with embryos from mothers with two Blm-null alleles (Figure 6). Additionally, embryos from mothers with BlmN2 alleles are far more likely to develop normally, and they exhibit a far less severe embryonic lethality than those from Blm-null mothers (McVey et al. 2007), indicating the helicase and other C-terminal domains of Blm are sufficient to carry out the essential syncytial functions of Blm to a near wild-type level. In our model where Blm assists replication through repetitive DNA sequences, either by regressing and restarting forks or by resolving DNA secondary structures, the unresolved stalled forks that arise due to the absence of maternal Blm can result in subsequent DSBs or in incomplete DNA replication, either of which can cause mitotic division errors. These outcomes can lead to nuclear damage and subsequent nuclear fallout rather than to activation of DNA repair mechanisms due to the lack of robust cell cycle checkpoints at this stage of development.

In further support of our model explaining the role for Blm during syncytial cell cycles, the sex-bias we see in the progeny from Blm mothers is exacerbated by a genetic reduction of maternal Pola (Figure 7). The progeny sex-bias from crosses of Blm Pola+/− mothers to w1118 males is further exacerbated in favor of the progeny class with less repetitive DNA (females) compared with that from crosses involving Blm mothers, although the difference is relatively small and does not reach statistical significance. However, the progeny sex-bias from crosses of Blm Pola−/− mothers to C(1;Y)6/Y males is significantly more biased toward the progeny class with less repetitive DNA (males) compared with the crosses to Blm mothers. The XXY females from the crosses involving C(1;Y)6/Y males have significantly more repetitive DNA than the XY males in the crosses involving w1118 males, which could explain why the underrepresentation of the XXY females is more severely affected by the reduction of Pola than that of the XY males in the cross involving w1118 males. Taken together, we interpret these data to indicate that adding a source of potential replication stress in the absence of Blm, by reducing the availability of Pola, exacerbates the consequences of increased repetitive DNA content.

This slowing of replication caused by the genetic reduction of Pola may decrease the probability of completing replication prior to mitosis, particularly in those regions comprised of large amounts of repetitive DNA that may rely on Blm to restart stalled forks or to resolve the structures that cause them to stall in the first place. The consequence of this may be an increased probability of DNA damage (Mankouri et al. 2013) followed by nuclear fallout and subsequent embryonic lethality (Sullivan et al. 1993; Song 2005). In other stages of development, increased fork-stalling and the breakdown of forks can trigger checkpoint activation that will pause the cell cycle and allow sufficient time for repair and restart of the forks (Rothstein et al. 2000), but during the syncytial cycles the embryo lacks those checkpoints (Song 2005).

The rapid cycling of syncytial cells (Foe and Alberts 1983), the lack of robust checkpoints during syncytial cycles (Song 2005), and the lack of evidence that Blm functions in DSB repair during these cell cycles (McVey et al. 2007; Figure 6) all point to a system that prioritizes speed (more cell cycles and growth of the organism) over fidelity (cell cycle checkpoints and DNA repair processes to ensure all nuclei are preserved and error-free). To get around the deemphasis of fidelity during these rapid cycles, significantly damaged nuclei are simply removed (nuclear fallout); perhaps a system of compensatory proliferation like that observed in Drosophila imaginal discs (Jakleve et al. 2004; Wells et al. 2006) can make up for nuclei lost during these early cycles, up to
a point. This system breaks down, however, when proteins that prevent massive nuclear catastrophe in the absence of robust cell-cycle checkpoints and DNA repair mechanisms, such as Blm, are missing, resulting in the damage to, and loss of, more nuclei than most embryos will tolerate and survive.

**Blm is not essential beyond the syncytial cycles**

A lack of Blm sensitizes Drosophila larvae and adults to DNA damaging agents (Boyd et al. 1981; McVey et al. 2004, 2007) and results in reduced lifespan and increased tumorigenesis in adult flies (Garcia et al. 2011). However, a lack of functional maternal Blm protein during the syncytial cycles is far more consequential. Almost all embryos from Blm mothers die; however, the few that survive embryogenesis display no difference in survival to adulthood whether they produce functional Blm products after activation of zygotic transcription or not (Figure 4). With our system, we cannot test whether survival of embryos from Blm mothers following activation of zygotic transcription would be improved if the embryos contained two functional copies of Blm vs a single functional copy, since these embryos always lack at least one functional copy due to the maternal null genotype. Therefore, we cannot rule out that some level of haploinsufficiency could exist during the larval stages of development, but instead can conclude that having one functional copy of Blm is indistinguishable from having no functional copies on surviving embryogenesis in the absence of maternal Blm. The experimental data in Figure 4 suggest, then, a model by which Blm is essential during the early, rapid replication cycles of the syncytial stages of embryogenesis, when maternally loaded Blm products are the only source of functional Blm. Survivors of this Blm-deficient development are no longer dependent on Blm to facilitate replication through repetitive DNA in later stages of development, as evidenced by the fact that we do not observe a reduction in the survival of Blm-null embryos and larvae compared with Blm heterozygous embryos and larvae.

This lack of an essential role for Blm after zygotic transcriptional activation may be due to one or more factors that are not mutually exclusive. First, after the syncytial cycles, S-phases lengthen considerably (Blumenthal et al. 1974; Shermoen et al. 2010), which may provide sufficient time for DNA replication through regions of highly repetitive DNA sequences to complete. Second, stalled replication forks may have no alternative to Blm for regression and restart of those stalled forks or for resolution of DNA secondary structures that form in the highly repetitive DNA sequences during syncytial cell cycles, particularly since the embryo lacks the full complement of cell cycle checkpoint responses (Song 2005). During later developmental stages, as cell cycle checkpoints become active, endonucleases or other helicases may be able to compensate for the lack of Blm. For example, structure-selective endonucleases such as Mus81–Mms4, Mus312–Sis1, and Gen are lethal in the absence of Blm (Trowbridge et al. 2007; Andersen et al. 2009, 2011), suggesting that these enzymes play an important role when Blm is unavailable following zygotic activation. Alternatively, another helicase may be able to substitute for Blm during later developmental stages. For example, RecQ5 appears to be able to take part in DNA replication fork repair (Ozsoy et al. 2003; Maruyama et al. 2012). Embryos from RecQ5 mothers show a syncytial nuclear defect similar to those from Blm mothers, displaying asynchrony and nuclear fallout (Nakayama et al. 2009); however, the nuclear defect is much less severe and does not lead to severe maternal-effect lethality. Perhaps this milder phenotype indicates that, although RecQ5 is not as critical in the earliest syncytial cycles as Blm is, RecQ5 is capable of compensating for a loss of Blm in later developmental stages.

As expected, the progeny from Blm mothers in the crosses to Blm heterozygous fathers exhibited a female sex-bias (~85% of surviving progeny were female; Figure 4). This bias toward female surviving progeny is more pronounced than we see in crosses between Blm females and males with “normal” X and Y chromosomes. However, this difference in the proportion of female progeny does not alter the interpretation of the data with respect to whether Blm is required beyond the syncytial cycles since we see no difference in survival between embryos that began producing Blm with the onset of zygotic transcription and those that did not when we compare total progeny, female progeny only, or male progeny only. One possible interpretation for the exacerbation of the sex-bias phenotype in this cross is that genetic differences between these Blm+/+ /TM6B, Tb Hu e males, and the w1118 males resulted in reduced male survival; for example, variability in the repetitive DNA content on the Y chromosomes could result in increased male lethality in the progeny from Blm+/+ /TM6B, Tb Hu e males and a subsequent increase in the female progeny sex-bias amongst the survivors. It remains to be tested how much natural variation of repetitive DNA content may affect survival of Blm-deficient embryos.

In conclusion, we show that Blm plays an essential role during the rapid syncytial cycles of Drosophila embryonic development. A lack of maternal Blm protein leads to severe DNA damage and subsequent nuclear fallout (Figure 1). The significant nuclear damage and loss causes a severe embryonic lethality (McVey et al. 2007; data not shown). Within the small proportion of progeny from Blm mothers that survive to adulthood, despite lacking maternal Blm during syncytial development, a significant sex-bias exists that favors the sex of flies that harbors less repetitive DNA sequence content (Figure 2). This progeny sex-bias is ameliorated when only the N-terminus of Blm is missing but the helicase domain and the rest of the C-terminus of the protein remains (Figure 6), suggesting the phenotype is not related to the loss of complex DSb repair. The progeny sex-bias is worsened with reduced availability of DNA Poliz and subsequent slowing of replication (Figure 7), implicating Blm in facilitating replication through repetitive DNA sequences during the rapid replication cycles of syncytial development. It remains to be determined whether Blm is necessary only at specific types of repetitive sequences or whether many types of repetitive elements result in endogenous replication stress that requires the activity of Blm. Once past the syncytial cycles, activation of cell cycle checkpoints, expression of alternative proteins that can resolve stalled replication forks or DNA secondary structures (endonucleases, other helicases), or the lengthening of S-phases may lessen the severity of endogenous replication stress or the requirement that Blm respond to that stress. These data expand our knowledge of the many functions of Blm DNA helicase, which has implications for our understanding of the developmental and cancer biology aspects of human BLM function.
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Acknowledgments

We thank members of the Stoffregen Lab at Lewis-Clark State College and the Sekelsky Lab at UNC for their assistance and support. We thank John Poulton for advice on microscopy.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health National Institute of General Medical Sciences (NIH NIGMS) Pilot Grants, a subaward from the Institutional Development Award from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (Grant number P20GM103408) and other support from the same grant to E.P.S. and L.L. and by a grant awarded from National Institute of General Medical Sciences, division of Training, Workforce Development, and Diversity under the Institutional Research and Academic Career Development Award, grant number K12-GM000678.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Literature cited
