Drosophila MUS312 and the Vertebrate Ortholog BTBD12 Interact with DNA Structure-Specific Endonucleases in DNA Repair and Recombination

Sabrina L. Andersen,1,5 Daniel T. Bergstralh,2,6,5 Kathryn P. Kohl,1 Jeannine R. LaRocque,3,7 Chris B. Moore,2 and Jeff Sekelsky1,2,3,4,*

1Curriculum in Genetics and Molecular Biology
2Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center
3Department of Biology
4Program in Molecular Biology and Biotechnology
University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA
5These authors contributed equally to this work
6Present address: Gurdon Institute, University of Cambridge, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge CB2 1QN, UK
7Present address: Developmental Biology, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY 10065, USA
*Correspondence: sekelsky@unc.edu
DOI 10.1016/j.molcel.2009.06.019

SUMMARY

DNA recombination and repair pathways require structure-specific endonucleases to process DNA structures that include forks, flaps, and Holliday junctions. Previously, we determined that the Drosophila MEI-9-ERCC1 endonuclease interacts with the MUS312 protein to produce meiotic crossovers, and that MUS312 has a MEI-9-independent role in interstrand crosslink (ICL) repair. The importance of MUS312 to pathways crucial for maintaining genomic stability in Drosophila prompted us to search for orthologs in other organisms. Based on sequence, expression pattern, conserved protein-protein interactions, and ICL repair function, we determined that the mammalian ortholog of MUS312 is BTBD12. Orthology between these proteins and S. cerevisiae Slx4 helped identify a conserved interaction with a second structure-specific endonuclease, SLX1. Genetic and biochemical evidence described here and in related papers suggest that MUS312 and BTBD12 direct Holliday junction resolution by at least two distinct endonucleases in different recombination and repair contexts.

INTRODUCTION

Specialized endonucleases execute important steps in DNA repair and recombination pathways by recognizing and cleaving specific DNA structures, including 5’ and 3’ flaps, bubbles, forks, and Holliday junctions (HJs). Some such endonucleases can cut different structures in different pathways. An example is vertebrate XPF-ERCC1 and its orthologs Rad1–Rad10 in S. cerevisiae and MEI-9-ERCC1 in D. melanogaster (Ciccia et al., 2008). These enzymes were first identified for their roles in nucleotide excision repair (NER), a pathway responsible for removal of UV-damaged bases. In NER, these enzymes nick the damaged strand at the 5’ end of a bubble (Bardwell et al., 1994; Park et al., 1995). They also function in repair of double-strand breaks (DSBs) (Bergstralh and Sekelsky, 2008). It is likely that they function in multiple DSB repair pathways; one role is in single-strand annealing (SSA), where Rad1–Rad10 and XPF-ERCC1 cleave 3’-ended flaps (Al-Minawi et al., 2008; Fishman-Lobell and Haber, 1992). MEI-9-ERCC1 is important for meiotic DSB repair, where it is thought to cut double-HJ (dHJ) intermediates to generate crossovers (Radford et al., 2007). Finally, these enzymes are critical for repair of DNA interstrand crosslinks (ICLs), though their exact functions in ICL repair are not well understood (Bergstralh and Sekelsky, 2008).

The ability of enzymes like XPF-ERCC1 and orthologs to recognize different substrates in different pathways is likely dependent on specific protein-protein interactions. Such interactions may recruit the nuclease to the site of damage. For example, an interaction with the damage-binding protein XPA recruits XPF-ERCC1 for NER (Park and Sancar, 1994). Alternatively, interaction of a nuclease with another protein might directly modulate substrate specificity. A possible example is seen with Drosophila MEI-9-ERCC1, where the meiotic function, but not the DNA repair functions, requires physical interaction with the MUS312 protein (Yildiz et al., 2002).

MUS312, like MEI-9-ERCC1, functions in ICL repair. Relative sensitivities of mutants to crosslinking agents indicate that MUS312 has a more crucial function and acts independently of MEI-9-ERCC1 (Yildiz et al., 2002), raising the possibility that MUS312 partners with a different nuclease in ICL repair.

Given the central importance of MUS312 to meiotic recombination and ICL repair, we hypothesized that homologs would have similar functions in other eukaryotes. We report here the identification of BTBD12 as the vertebrate ortholog of MUS312. Expression patterns and knockdown studies suggest that BTBD12 has functions similar to MUS312. Both are orthologous to yeast Sls4 and, like Sls4, complex with at least two different endonucleases.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

MUS312 Is Orthologous to BTBD12 and Slx4

MUS312 lacks known functional domains and is poorly conserved even within arthropods (see Figure S1 available online). We therefore conducted sequence analyses to detect conserved structural characteristics. MUS312’s predicted architecture includes a short coiled-coil domain and a C terminus with seven α helices (Figures 1A and 1B). The final two predicted helices are separated by a conserved glycine. This structure is similar to the SAP domain, a DNA-binding domain found in many repair proteins (Aravind and Koonin, 2000). PSI-BLAST searches using the C-terminal sequence identified proteins with similar C termini, including one in each vertebrate genome (Figure 1B). The mammalian protein BTBD12 has a predicted coiled-coil domain like MUS312, and a BTB (Broad-complex, Tramtrack, Bric-a-brac) domain. BTB domains mediate hetero- and homotypic protein interactions and are commonly located N-terminal to other conserved domains (Stogios et al., 2005).

MUS312 interacts physically with MEI-9, the catalytic subunit of the MEI-9-ERCC1 endonuclease (Yildz et al., 2002). By yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) assay, we found that human BTBD12 interacts with XPF, the ortholog of MEI-9 (Figure 1C). The interacting region mapped to the BTB domain. Although MUS312 does not have a BTB domain, we detected weak sequence similarity in residues 101–219 (Figure S2). This region interacts with MEI-9 (Figure 1C). Conservation between these interacting regions indicates the biological relevance of the Y2H interactions. Additional support comes from our finding that the same single-amino-acid substitution in MEI-9 or XPF abolishes the interaction. The mei-912 mutation G349E abolishes interaction with MUS312 (Yildz et al., 2002). We made the equivalent substitution in XPF (G325E), and it abolishes interaction with the BTB domain of BTBD12 (Figure 1C).

Elements of the MUS312/BTBD12 architecture are also recognizable in BSP1, a protein from bipolar mating species of the Cryptococcus genus of fungal pathogens. BSP1 has sequence similarity with the C termini of MUS312 and BTBD12 and with the N-terminal MEI-9/XPF-interaction region (Figures 1A and S2). These three proteins also share another short motif (Figures 1A and S2).

Others recently identified MUS312 and BTBD12 when searching for orthologs of S. cerevisiae Slx4 (Fekairi et al., 2009; Muñoz et al., 2009 [this issue of Molecular Cell]). The previous finding that Slx4 interacts with Rad1–Rad10 supports this identification (Fricke and Brill, 2003). Slx4 also interacts with a second structure-specific endonuclease, Slx1 (Flett et al., 2007). In a Y2H assay, Drosophila MUS312 and SLX1 also interact (Figure 1C); a similar interaction has been shown for human BTBD12 and SLX1 (Fekairi et al., 2009; Svendsen et al., 2009). We conclude that Drosophila MUS312, vertebrate BTBD12, and yeast Slx4 are orthologous proteins whose functions involve physical
interactions with at least two different structure-specific DNA repair endonucleases.

**BTBD12 Expression Suggests Conservation of the Meiotic Recombination Function**

MUS312 is important for meiotic recombination in *Drosophila*; in *mus312* mutants, meiotic crossovers (COs) are decreased by about 95% (Green, 1981; Yildiz et al., 2002). It has been unclear whether the MUS312 CO pathway is unique to *Drosophila*, but mined expression data suggest a meiotic function for Btbd12 in mice. Murine Btbd12 mRNA is most highly expressed in testes and oocytes (Figure 2A). Testis expression increases as the animal approaches sexual maturity (Schultz et al., 2003). The increase begins when spermatocytes first enter pachytene, the stage at which meiotic recombination takes place, and expression is much higher in pachytene spermatocytes than mitotically dividing premeiotic spermatagonia (Figures 2B and 2C) (Namekawa et al., 2006). This expression pattern suggests that mammalian BTBD12 might also have a role in generating meiotic COs.

Orthology to *Cryptococcus* BSP1 raises intriguing evolutionary implications for the importance of these proteins to meiosis. BSP1 is one of 26 genes at the mating type (MAT) loci of *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii*. The MAT loci of these organisms are unlike those of other fungi but share features with animal sex chromosomes (Fraser et al., 2004). BSP1 is part of the “intermediate II” class of genes, which were incorporated into the mating locus at a period in evolution thought to be important for the genesis of bipolar mating. Three of the six genes incorporated into the MAT loci during this period have been functionally characterized, and two of these (*SPO14* and *RUM1*) are orthologous to meiotic genes from other fungi (Honigberg et al., 1992; Quadbeck-Seeger et al., 2000). We speculate that *Cryptococcus* BSP1 has meiotic functions similar to those of MUS312.
MUS312 interacts with MEI-9-ERCC1 to generate meiotic COs (Yıldız et al., 2002). However, COs are decreased by >95% in mus312 mutants, but by only 85%–90% in mei-9 mutants (Yıldız et al., 2002, 2004), suggesting that some COs generated by MUS312 are independent of MEI-9. It is possible that a small percentage of COs require MUS312 and another endonuclease, such as SLX1. It will be interesting to see whether, as suggested by its expression pattern, BTBD12 has a meiotic recombination function and the extent to which this requires XPF-ERCC1, SLX1, or other nucleases.

MUS312 and BTBD12 Have Important Functions in ICL Repair

mus312 mutants were first recovered in screens for hypersensitivity to DNA-damaging agents (Boyd et al., 1981). mus312 mutants are mildly hypersensitive to the alkylating agent methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) but are highly hypersensitive to the nitrogen mustard mechloramine (HN2), a bifunctional agent that can cause DNA interstrand crosslinks (Boyd et al., 1981). A role for BTBD12 in responding to DNA damage is suggested by the identification of BTBD12 in proteomic screens for substrates of the DNA damage checkpoint kinases ATM and ATR (Matsuoka et al., 2007; Mu et al., 2007). S. cerevisiae Ssx4 is a target of the orthologous kinases Mec1 and Tel1 (Flott and Rouse, 2005). To determine whether BTBD12 has a role in ICL repair, we used siRNA to knock down BTBD12, XPF, and SLX1 in HeLa cells. Reduction of the tetrazolium reagent XTT was measured as an indicator of cell proliferation or survival (see Experimental Procedures). Transfection with control or XPF siRNA had no effect on XTT reduction, but transfection with siRNAs for BTBD12 or SLX1 caused a 25% decrease, suggesting that BTBD12-SLX1 plays a role in cell proliferation or survival in the absence of exogenous damage (Figure 3A).

Knockdown of BTBD12 or SLX1 caused a significant increase in sensitivity to HN2, but not to MMS (Figures 3B and 3C). Since ICL repair occurs primarily during replication (Niedernhofer et al., 2004), ICLs should slow progression through S phase, and a repair defect should arrest cells in S phase. Cell-cycle profiles of cells treated with HN2 are consistent with this hypothesis: cells transfected with control siRNA and then treated with HN2 show a broader distribution through S phase than untreated cells (Figure 3D). In contrast, cells knocked down for BTBD12...
or SLX1 accumulate in early S phase after treatment with HN2 (Figure 3C), suggesting that these cells arrest in S phase due to failure to repair ICLs.

Evidence that BTBD12 is required for ICL repair has also been obtained by others using different siRNAs, different sensitivity assays, and different crosslinking agents (Fekairi et al., 2009; Svendsen et al., 2009; Munoz et al., 2009). Thus, the previously identified role of MUS312 in ICL repair appears to be broadly conserved in animals.

**Synthetic Lethality between mus312 and mus309 Reveals an Important Function in Cell Proliferation**

SLX4 was first identified in a screen for mutations that are lethal in the absence of Sgs1, the S. cerevisiae ortholog of BLM (Mullen et al., 2001). The Drosophila ortholog DmBLM is encoded by mus309. We found that mus312 mus309 double mutants are inviable, dying after pupariation (Figure 4A). Third-instar larvae have melanotic tumors, suggestive of elevated cell death, and lack the highly proliferative larval imaginal discs. Furthermore, larval brains of double mutants were greatly reduced in size (Figure 3B). These phenotypes indicate a severe proliferation defect and reveal an important function for MUS312 even in the absence of induced DNA damage.

mus81; mus309 double mutants, which lack DmBLM and the structure-specific endonuclease MUS81-MMS4, also have melanotic tumors; imaginal discs are present, but apoptosis is highly elevated (Trowbridge et al., 2007). This synthetic lethality is suppressed by mutations in spn-A, which encodes the ortholog of the strand invasion protein Rad51 (Trowbridge et al., 2007). In contrast, spn-A mutations do not suppress the lethality of mus312 mus309 double mutants (Figure 4C), suggesting that mus312 mus309 lethality is not due to defects in processing a repair intermediate that requires strand invasion. This result is similar to the case in S. cerevisiae, where rad51 mutations suppress mus81 sgs1 lethality but not slx4 sgs1 lethality (Bastin-Shanower et al., 2003). It is likely that the function of MUS312 that is essential in the absence of DmBLM requires SLX1, as in S. cerevisiae (Mullen et al., 2001). Consistent with this suggestion, mei-9; mus309 double mutants are viable as adults (data not shown); unfortunately, there are currently no slx1 mutations available to directly test this hypothesis.

**Roles of MUS312/BTBD12 Complexes in DNA Repair and Recombination**

MUS312 and its orthologs clearly have multiple functions in DNA repair and recombination. Since these proteins interact with at least two different structure-specific endonucleases, it is important to consider what structures are cleaved in different pathways. In vitro, S. cerevisiae and S. pombe Slx4-Slx1 are most active on 5’ flaps and structures that mimic replication forks (Coulon et al., 2004; Fricke and Brill, 2003). These activities could explain the known function for Slx4-Slx1 in maintaining rDNA

---

**Figure 4. Synthetic Lethality between mus312 and mus309**

(A) Cross scheme to detect synthetic lethality. This cross generates progeny doubly mutant for mus312 and mus309. No double mutant adults (top genotype) eclosed, though there were expected to be as frequent as the lower genotype (the two genotypes not listed are inviable).

(B) mus312 mus309 double mutants have small brains. Brains were dissected from wandering L3 larvae and stained with DAPI. Brains from mus312 or mus309 single mutants are indistinguishable from wild-type brains (data not shown), but brains from double mutants are severely underdeveloped.

(C) mus312 mus309 synthetic lethality is not suppressed by mutation of spn-A. This cross generates progeny triply mutant for mus312, mus309, and spn-A. No triple mutant adults (top genotype) eclosed, though there were expected to be half as frequent as the lower genotype (either triple mutant chromosome over the TM3 balancer; TM3/TM3 is inviable).

---

stability in the absence of Sgs1 (Coulon et al., 2004; Fricke and Brill, 2003). It is thought that replication forks that stall at natural barriers in rDNA are normally processed by Sgs1 but are cut by Ssx4-Slx1 if Sgs1 is not available. Ssx4-Rad1–Rad10 is thought to cut 3′ flaps in its role in SSA (Li et al., 2008).

The function of MUS312-MEI-9-ERCC1 in generating meiotic COs is not easily explained by cleavage of flaps or forks. Rather, the genetic and molecular defects in meiotic recombination seen in mei-9 mutants suggest that MEI-9-ERCC1 cuts a dHJ intermediate to generate COs (Radford et al., 2007; Yildiz et al., 2004). Although biochemical activities of MUS312-MEI-9-ERCC1 have not been reported, human BTBD12-SLX1 is reported to have HJ resolvas activity (Fekairi et al., 2009; Svendsen et al., 2009; Muñoz et al., 2009). It seems reasonable to propose that MUS312 and BTBD12 share an ability to confer HJ resolution activity on associated nucleases. S. cerevisiae Ssx4-Slx1 can cut HJs in vitro, though Fricke and Brill (2003) concluded that it is not a true resolvas. This could be due to reaction conditions, a missing cofactor, or some divergence in activities of the yeast and metazoan enzymes.

HJ resolution could also account for the roles of MUS312/BTBD12-SLX1 in ICL repair. ICL repair is known to involve formation of a DSB, and HJs are predicted to be generated during processing of this DSB for replication restart (Bergstrahl and Sekelsky, 2008; Li and Heyer, 2008). HJs might also be generated prior to DSB formation, by regression of the blocked fork to form a “chicken foot” structure. It is possible that MUS312/BTBD12-SLX1 cuts this structure to initiate ICL repair. However, ICL repair is poorly understood, and some models do not involve fork regression (Raschle et al., 2008).

The synthetic lethal interaction between mus312 and mus309 may also reflect an inability to process HJs. BLM helicases have HJ branch migration activity that is believed to function in several mechanisms of replication fork repair, including reversing regressed forks and dissolving dHJ intermediates (Wu, 2007). Loss of BLM might therefore leave HJs that must be processed by other mechanisms. We speculate that, in some cases, perhaps depending on the type or location of blockage, HJs that cannot be acted upon by DmBLM must be cut by MUS312-SLX1 to allow replication to proceed. Our data indicate that the intermediate that requires either MUS312 or DmBLM is not generated during Rad51-mediated recombination, but HJs may be generated in other ways (e.g., replication fork regression).

Several eukaryotic proteins cut HJs in vitro have now been identified, including Mus81-Eme1 (Boddy et al., 2001), Yen1/GEN1 (Ip et al., 2008), and BTBD12-SLX4 (Fekairi et al., 2009; Svendsen et al., 2009; Muñoz et al., 2009). Although it is unknown whether these all cut HJs in vivo, their identification raises the question of whether cells need more than one resolvas enzyme. HJs are thought to be generated during meiotic recombination, regression of blocked replication forks, and some types of replication fork restart, and perhaps during DSB repair. These different processes may well employ different HJ cutting enzymes. It is also evident that the same function may use different enzymes in different species. For example, most meiotic COs in S. pombe require Mus81-Eme1, but the orthologous enzyme generates only a subset of COs in S. cerevisiae, and none in Drosophila (Whitby, 2005; Trowbridge et al., 2007).

In summary, MUS312, BTBD12, and Slx4 are orthologous proteins that each interact with at least two different structure-specific endonucleases. Based on previous studies, the work presented here, and recent work from other groups, we propose that MUS312 and BTBD12 are key noncatalytic subunits of Holliday junction resolvases.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Sequence Analysis**

Coiled-coil domain prediction used COILS2 (http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/COILS_form.html). Structural analysis was performed with PHYRE (Bennett-Lovsey et al., 2008). The H. sapiens BTB domain position was determined using Pfam (http://pfam.sanger.ac.uk/search/).

**Yeast Two-Hybrid Analysis**

Yeast two-hybrid analysis was performed as described previously (Radford et al., 2005).

**Expression Data**

Cross-tissue mRNA expression of mouse BTBD12 was mined from BioGPS (http://biogps.gnf.org/). Mouse testis (GDS410) and mouse sperm (GDS2390) expression patterns were mined from the NCBI GEO database (Barrett et al., 2009).

**Cell Lines and Reagents**

HeLa cells were cultured in DMEM (GIBCO) with 8% FBS, 10 units/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. Cells were maintained in 5% CO2 at 37°C. DharmaFECT 1 transfection reagent and Control, BTBD12, and XPF SMARTpool siRNA oligonucleotides were purchased from Dharmacon.

**XTT Assay**

HeLa cells were plated into 96-well plates at ~20% confluence. siRNA was transfected according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Transfection medium was replaced with 100 ml of complete DMEM after 2 days. On day three, 100 μl treatment medium was added to each well. After 24 hr, 50 μl serum-free medium with 25 μM phenazine methosulfate and 1 mg/ml XTT (2, 3-bis (2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)−5(phenylamino) carbonyl)−2H-tetrazolium hydroxide) was added to each well. Optical density at 450 nm was measured 4 hr later.

**Cell-Cycle Analysis**

Cell-cycle analysis was performed as described previously (Bergstrahl et al., 2004).

**SUPPLEMENTAL DATA**

Supplemental Data include two figures and can be found with this article online at http://www.cell.com/molecular-cell/supplemental/S1097-2765(09)00432-8.
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